ECE 2400 Computer Systems Programming Spring 2025 Topic 8: Complexity Analysis

School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Cornell University

revision: 2025-03-05-09-39

1	Analyzing Simple Algorithms	3
2	Analyzing Simple Data Structures	6
3	Analyzing Algorithms and Data Structures	8
	3.1. Linear Search	9
	3.2. Binary Search	10
	3.3. Comparing Linear vs. Binary Search	13
4	Time and Space Complexity	14
	4.1. Six-Step Process for Complexity Analysis	21
5	Comparing Lists and Vectors	22
6	Art, Principle, and Practice	24

zyBooks logo indicates readings and coding labs in the course zyBook which will not be discussed in detail in lecture. Students are responsible for all material covered in lecture and in the course zyBook.

Copyright © 2025 Anne Bracy. All rights reserved. This handout was prepared by Prof. Anne Bracy at Cornell University for ECE 2400 / ENGRD 2140 Computer Systems Programming (derived from previous handouts prepared and copyrighted by Prof. Christopher Batten). Download and use of this handout is permitted for individual educational non-commercial purposes only. Redistribution either in part or in whole via both commercial or non-commercial means requires written permission.

1. Analyzing Simple Algorithms

01	<pre>int mul(int x, int y)</pre>
	{
	int $z = 0;$
	<pre>for (int i=0; i<y;)="" i="i+1" pre="" {<=""></y;></pre>
	z = z + x;
	}
	return z;
	}
	<pre>int main()</pre>
	{
	<pre>int a = mul(2,3);</pre>
	<pre>int b = mul(2,4);</pre>
\square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square \square 14	return 0;
	}

- What is the execution time of this algorithm for specific values of y?
 - Let T(y) be execution time for y
- What units to use for execution time?
 - Number of seconds
 - Number of machine instructions
 - Number of X's in our state diagram

у	T(y)
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	

stack

```
int mul(int x, int y)
{
    for (int i = 0; i < y; i = i + 1) {
        z = z + x;
        }
    return z;
    }
</pre>
```

• Can we derive a generalized equation for T(y) for mul algorithm?

- Units are the number of X's in our state diagram

- Is the number of X's in our state diagram is a good choice for the units of execution time?
 - Depends on code formatting
 - Complex work in a single line (line 4)
 - Arithmetic work on some lines (line 5)
 - Hardly any work on some lines (line 6)
- We will use the number of critical operations for the units of execution time
 - Choice involves the art of computer systems programming
 - Number of critical multiplication, division, or remainder operations
 - Number of critical comparisons, swaps, node accesses, array accesses
 - Number of critical loop iterations
 - Number of critical function calls
- Can we derive a generalized equation for T(y) for mul algorithm?
 - Units are the number of add (+) operations

The following three implementations implement a function to determine if the given number x is prime (assume x > 2)

```
1 int is_prime_v1( int x ) 1 int is_prime_v2( int x ) 1 int is_prime_v3( int x )
2 {
                           2 {
                                                      2 {
   int i
           = 2;
                                      = x / 2;
                                                     3 int i
                                                                 = 2;
                           3 int y
3
4
   int ans = 1;
                          4
                              int i
                                      = 2;
                                                     4 int ans = 1;
   while ( i < x ) {
                          5 int ans = 1;
                                                    5 while (i * i <= x ) {
5
     if ( x % i == 0 )
                          6 while ( i <= y ) {
                                                           if ( x % i == 0 )
                                                    6
6
                          7 if (x % i == 0)
      ans = 0;
                                                    7
                                                             ans = 0;
7
      i = i + 1;
                          8
                                  ans = 0;
                                                           i = i + 1;
8
                                                     8
    3
                           9
                                i = i + 1;
                                                     9
                                                         }
9
                              }
    return ans;
                                                         return ans;
10
                          10
                                                    10
11 }
                          11
                              return ans;
                                                   11 }
                          12 }
```

- Fill in table then derive generalized equations for $T_{v1}(x)$, $T_{v2}(x)$, $T_{v3}(x)$
 - The "itr" column is the number of iterations of the while loop
 - T(x) is measured in mul/div/rem operations

	v1		v2			v3	
x	itr	$T_{v1}(x)$	itr	$T_{v2}(x)$	itr	$T_{v3}(x)$	
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
99							

2. Analyzing Simple Data Structures

```
□ □ □ □ 01 typedef struct _node_t
int
                                value;
              struct _node_t* next_ptr;
    \square \square 05 \}
    □ □ 06 node t:
   □□ 08 node_t* prepend( node_t* n_ptr, int v )
  09 {
              node_t* new_ptr =
                malloc( sizeof(node_t) );
              new_ptr->value
                                   = v;
              new_ptr->next_ptr = n_ptr;
              return new_ptr;
\square \square \square \square 16 }
     1 \square 18 int main( void )
      🗆 19 🧲
              node_t* n_ptr = NULL;
              n_ptr = prepend( n_ptr, 3 );
             n_ptr = prepend( n_ptr, 4 );
              free( n_ptr->next_ptr );
              free( n_ptr );
              return 0;
\square \square \square \square 26 }
```

- What is the space usage of this data structure for specific values of *N* where *N* is the number of elements prepended to chain of nodes?
 - Let S(N) be space usage for N elements
- What units to use for space usage?
 - Bytes on the heap or stack
 - Variables on the heap
 - Frames on the stack



- Can we derive a generalized equation for S(N) for chain of nodes?
 - Units are variables on the heap
 - We care about the *maximum* usage not the *total* usage

Derive generalized equation for S(N) for array of elements

• Units are the variables on the heap

```
1 int main( void )
2 {
    int N = 1000;
3
4
5
    int* a = malloc( N*sizeof(int) );
    for ( int i = 0; i < N; i++ )</pre>
6
      a[i] = i;
7
    free(a):
8
9
    int* b = malloc( N*sizeof(int) );
10
    for ( int i = 0; i < N; i++ )</pre>
11
      b[i] = i;
12
    free(b);
13
14
15
  return 0;
16 }
```

Kinds of Heap Space Usage

- Heap space usage of the data structure itself as function of *N*
- Heap space usage for an algorithm as a function of *N*
 - Should we include the heap space usage of an input data structure?
 - This heap space usage is always the same regardless of the function!
 - Auxiliary heap space usage focuses on the heap space usage that the algorithm requires in *addition* to the heap space usage required by the data structure itself

3. Analyzing Algorithms and Data Structures

- Assume we have a sorted input array of integers
- Consider algorithms to check if a given value is in the array
- The algorithm should return 1 if value is in array, otherwise return 0

```
int search( int* x, int n, int v )
```

- Let *N* be the size of the input array
- Let *T* be the execution time measured in num of element comparisons
- Let *S* be the stack space usage measured in number of stack frames
- Our goal is to derive equations for *T* and *S* as a function of *N*

3.1. Linear Search

```
Image: Imag
000 02 {
                                                                                              for ( int i = 0; i < n; i++ ) {</pre>
                                                                                                             if (x[i] == v)
                                                                                                                    return 1;
                                                                                                             // else if (x[i] > v)
                                                                                                              // return 0;
                                                                                               }
                                                                                               return 0;
10 10 3
 \square \square \square \square \square 12 int main( void )
                □□□ 13 {
                                                                                               int a[] = { 0, 10, 20, 30,
                                                                                                                                                                                           40, 50, 60, 70};
                                                                                               int b = lsearch( a, 8, 20 );
                                                                                               return 0;
\square \square \square \square 18 }
```

Fill in table then derive generalized equations for $T_k(N)$ and $S_k(N)$

- Execution time in units of element comparisons (i.e., == on line 4)
- Space usage in units of stack frames
- Let *k* be the array index of v in x

υ	k	$\overline{T(8)}$	S(8)
0			
10			
20			
70			
99			

		stack
1		
		$(x,y,y) \in \{x,y\}$
· · · · · ·		a de com
1.1.5.1.1		$(x,y) \in \mathcal{X}$

3.2. Binary Search

```
□□□ 01 int bsearch_h( int* x, int first,
                       int last, int v )
0 03 f
         int size = last - first;
         if ( size == 1 )
          return ( x[first] == v );
        int mid = (first + last)/2;
         if (v < x[mid])
            return bsearch_h( x, first, mid, v );
         else
           return bsearch_h( x, mid, last, v );
□□□ 15 int bsearch( int* x, int n, int v )
□□□ 16 {
return bsearch_h( x, 0, n, v );
\square \square \square 18 }
□□□ 20 int main( void )
□□□ 21 {
\Box \Box \Box 22 int a[] = { 0, 10, 20, 30,
                      40, 50, 60, 70};
         int b = bsearch( a, 8, 20 );
return 0;
\square \square \square 26 }
```

	1		1	stack
				SLACK
			· · · · ·	
		$r \rightarrow r$	s	
1				
		1.1.1		
		· · ·		
T i				
1				
			с. н. н. н. 1. – П. н. н. н.	
· ·				
1				
1				

Annotating call tree with execution time and stack space usage



v	k	T(8)	T(16)
0			
10			
20			
70			
99			



3.3. Comparing Linear vs. Binary Search



Lir	near: $T_w(N) = N$
Binary:	$T_w(N) = \log_2(N) + 1$

Ν	Linear	Binary
10 ²	10 ²	7
10 ³	10 ³	10
10^{4}	10^{4}	14
10 ⁵	10^{5}	17
10 ⁶	10 ⁶	20

Linear: $T_w(N) = N$ Binary: $T_w(N) = 2 \log_2(N) + 2$

```
int bsearch_h( int* x, int first,
               int last, int v )
ſ
  int size = last - first;
 if ( size == 1 ) {
   // what if we need extra
   // element comparison here?
    return ( x[first] == v );
 3
  // what if we need extra
 // element comparison here?
 int mid = (first + last)/2;
  if (v < x[mid])
   return bsearch_h( x, first, mid, v );
  else
   return bsearch_h( x, mid, last, v );
}
```

4. Time and Space Complexity

- We have been using high-level units such as the number of critical operations, stack frames, and heap variables
- We want to analyze algorithms and data-structures at an *even higher level* to broadly characterize *high-level trends* as some input variable (e.g., *N*) grows asymptotically large
- Big-O notation is a formal way to characterize high-level trends

f(N) is $O(g(N)) \Leftrightarrow \exists N_0, c. \forall N > N_0. f(N) \le c \cdot g(N)$

- f(N) is O(g(N)) if there is some value N_0 and some value c such that for all N greater than N_0 , $f(N) \le c \cdot g(N)$
 - g(N) can be thought of as an "upper bounding function"
 - f(N) can be T(N) or S(N) (i.e., the "function of interest")







4. Time and Space Complexity

- Big-O notation captures the fastest-growing term (high-level trend) as *N* becomes asymptotically large
- With large enough c, g(N) can ignore ...
 - ... constant factors in f(N)
 - ... trailing terms in f(N)



- Technically all four functions are $O(N^2)$
 - Choose c = 1 and N_0 is around 2
- Saying all four functions are $O(N^2)$ does not provide any insight
- We want to choose the function with the "tightest" bound which will provide the most insight for our analysis



Big-O Examples

f(N)	is	O(g(N))
3	is	<i>O</i> (1)
2N	is	O(N)
2N + 3	is	O(N)
$4N^{2}$	is	$O(N^2)$
$4N^2 + 2N + 3$	is	$O(N^2)$
$4 \cdot \log_2(N)$	is	$O(\log(N))$
$N + 4 \cdot \log_2(N)$	is	O(N)

- Big-O notation captures the fastest-growing term (high-level trend) as *N* becomes asymptotically large
- Constant factors do not matter in big-O notation
- Trailing terms do not matter in big-O notation
- Base of log does not matter in big-O notation

Big-O Classes

	Class	N = 100 requires
<i>O</i> (1)	Constant	1 step
$O(\log(N))$	Logarithmic	2–7 steps
$O(\sqrt{N})$	Square Root	10 steps
$O(N^c)$ where $c < 1$	Fractional Power	
O(N)	Linear	100 steps
$O(N \cdot \log(N))$	Log-Linear	664 steps
$O(N^2)$	Quadratic	10K steps
$O(N^3)$	Cubic	1M steps
$O(N^c)$ where $c > 1$	Polynomial	
$O(2^N)$	Exponential	1e30 steps
O(N!)	Factorial	9e157 steps

- Exponential and factorial time algorithms are considered intractable
- With one nanosecond steps, exponential time requires many centuries and factorial time requires the lifetime of the universe

Revisiting linear vs. binary search

Linear	$T_w(N) = N$	is	$O(N) \\ O(\log(N))$	linear time
Binary	$T_w(N) = \log_2(N) + 1$	is		logarithmic time
Linear	$S_w(N) = 1$	is	O(1)	constant stack space
Binary	$S_w(N) = \log_2(N) + 2$	is	$O(\log(N))$	logarithmic stack space

- Does this mean binary search is always faster?
- Does this mean linear search always requires less storage?
- For large N, but we don't always know N_0
 - T(N) or S(N) can have very large constants
 - T(N) or S(N) can have very large trailing terms
- This analysis is for worst case complexity
 - results can look very different for best case complexity
 - results can look very different for average complexity
- For real-world problem sizes and/or different input data characteristics, sometimes an algorithm with worse time (space) complexity can still be faster (smaller)
- If two algorithms or data structures have the same complexity, then constants and trailing terms are what makes the difference!

4.1. Six-Step Process for Complexity Analysis

- 1. Choose units for execution time or space usage
 - critical multiplication, division, remainder operations
 - critical comparisons, swaps, node accesses, array accesses
 - critical function calls
 - critical loop iterations
 - stack frames, heap variables
- 2. Choose input variable and key parameters
 - Let *N* be a variable, we want to explore how time and space grow with *N*
 - Let K be a parameter, we want to explore the interaction between N and K (K is constant w.r.t. to N?, K is function of N?, optimal K?)
 - Let $T_K(N)$ be execution time, N is input variable, K is key parameter
 - Let $S_K(N)$ be space usage, N is input variable, K is key parameter
- 3. Choose kind of analysis
 - worst, average, or best case input data value analysis
 - must explain what is meant by worst, average, or best case input data!
 - usually focus on worst or average case, occasionally best case
 - worst/best case is never N = large number or N = 1
 - we want worst/average/best case *function* of *N*, not value of *N*
 - worst/average/best case can involve K (e.g., worst case is when K = N)
 - amortized analysis is over a sequence of operations
- 4. Analyze for specific values of input variable and key parameters
 - $T_8(10) = \dots$
 - $T_{32}(99) = ...$

5. Generalize for any value of input variable and key parameters

- $T_K(N) = ...$
- 6. Characterize asymptotic behavior using big-O notation
 - $T_K(N) = ...$ which is O(1)

5. Comparing Lists and Vectors

- The list and vector data structures ...
 - have similar interfaces, but
 - very different execution times, and
 - very different space usage.

Analysis of time and space complexity of slist_int_push_front

```
void slist_int_push_front( slist_int_t* this, int v )
allocate new node
set new node's value to v
set new node's next ptr to head ptr
```

5 set head ptr to point to new node

What is the time complexity?

What is the auxiliary heap space complexity?

Analysis of time and space complexity of bvector_int_push_front

```
void bvector_int_push_front( bvector_int_t* this, int v )
for i in bvector's size to 0
set bvector's data[i] to data[i-1]
set bvector's data[0] to v
set bvector's size to size + 1
```

What is the time complexity?

What is the auxiliary heap space complexity?

	Time Complexity		Space Complexity	
Operation	slist	bvector	slist	bvector
construct				
destruct				
push_front				
pop_front				
push_back				
pop_back				
size				
at				
insert_idx				
remove_idx				
insert_ptr				
remove_ptr				

Compare the time and space complexity of the algorithms

- What about comparing a doubly linked list or a resizable vector?
- What about the space complexity of the data structure itself?

6. Art, Principle, and Practice

- The art of computer systems programming is ...
 - designing new algorithms and/or data structures
 - choosing the right units that provide most insight
 - choosing the input variable and key parameters that provide most insight
 - choosing the kind of analysis that provides the most insight
- The principle of computer systems programming is ...
 - performing rigorous time and space complexity analysis
 - analyzing, generalizing, characterizing
 - considering modularity, hierarchy, encapsulation, extensibility
- The practice of computer systems programming is ...
 - implementing and integrating algorithms and/or data structures
 - performing real experiments to evaluate execution time and space usage
 - considering the constant factors and trailing terms

