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Datacenter Underutilization


A Common Approach

- Co-schedule multiple cloud services on same physical platform
- Often leads to resource interference, especially when sharing cores
A Common Cure

- Co-schedule one high priority and one/more best-effort apps
- Performance is non-critical for best effort jobs
- Disadvantage: assume best-effort apps are always low priority
Approximate computing apps can absorb a loss of resources as loss of output quality instead of a loss in performance.

Advantage: performance of all co-scheduled applications is high-priority.
Pliant

- Enables latency-critical & approximate apps to share resources (including cores) without penalizing their performance
- Tunes degree and type of approximation based on measured interference
1. Identify opportunities for approximation
   - ACCEPT (precision, loop perforation, sync elision), algorithmic exploration

2. Lightweight profiling to determine when to employ approximation
   - End-to-end latency/throughput & perf counters

3. Determine what resource(s) to constrain?
   - Based on measured interference

4. Determine what type of approximation & to what extent?
   - Based on interference and performance impact
DynamoRIO for switching between precise/approximate versions

- Initial implementation, overheads high but not prohibitive
- Looking into Petabricks and LLVM
Adaptive Approximation

- **Incremental approximation:**
  - Employ the minimum amount of approximation (quality loss) to restore the performance of the interactive service
  - Several versions for each type of approximation, choose online

- **Interference-aware approximation:**
  - Choose the type of interference that minimizes pressure in the bottlenecked resource
  - Example:
    - High memory interference $\rightarrow$ prioritize algo tuning
    - High CPU interference $\rightarrow$ prioritize sync elision, loop perforation
Methodology

- Latency-critical interactive services: memcached & nginx
  - Open-loop workload generator & performance monitor
  - Facebook traffic pattern

- Approximate computing apps: PARSEC, SPLASH, Spark MLlib

- System: 2 2-socket, 40-core servers, 128GB RAM each
Evaluation

- memcached sharing physical cores with PARSEC
- Latency → Degree of approximation
Conclusions

- **Approximate computing**: opportunity to improve cloud efficiency without loss in performance

- **Pliant**: cloud runtime to co-schedule interactive services with approximate computing apps
  - Incremental and interference-aware approximation
  - Preserves QoS for interactive service with minimal loss in quality for approximate computing application

- **Current work**:
  - DynamoRIO → Petabricks/LLVM
  - Add cloud approximate computing application
  - Improve interference awareness
  - Leverage hardware isolation techniques
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