In this lab, you will be composing the components you have designed throughout the year to create a multicore system composed of processors, networks and caches. You will get the chance to program scalar and parallel programs in C, and see the compiled and assembled code, and it running on your processor system.

You will work up to the final design by using an incremental design process by composing first a single core design and then the multicore processor. We will evaluate the completed multicore processor using the provided multithreaded benchmarks.

Unlike the earlier labs, this lab also has a software focus and you will write a scalar quicksort algorithm and a parallel sorting algorithm of your choosing and show the performance difference.

As with all lab assignments, the majority of your grade will be determined by the lab report. You should consult the course lab assignment assessment rubric for more information about the expectations for all lab assignments and how they will be assessed.

This lab is unique in its focus on structural composition of elements that you have already built and on software. This lab is designed to give you experience with:

- compose a single core processor system using processors and caches previously built
- compose a multicore system which is a significantly more complicated design
- write software for both a single threaded and multi-threaded programs

The PyMTL simulation speed is not quite fast enough. While we are actively improving PyMTL simulation speed, for this lab we will be only using Verilog as our RTL language. If you use Verilog in your previous labs, you are strongly encouraged to use your code in this lab. If you used PyMTL in previous labs, please send an email to the course staff and we will give you Verilog solutions.

You should send emails to ece4750-staff@cs.cornell.edu, do not send emails directly to the course instructor or individual TAs.

This handout assumes that you have read and understand the course tutorials and the lab assessment rubric. To get started, you should access the ECE computing resources and you have should have used the ece4750-lab-admin script to create or join a GitHub group. If you have not do so already, source the setup script and clone your lab group’s remote repository from GitHub:

```
% source setup-ece4750.sh
% mkdir -p ${HOME}/ece4750
% cd ${HOME}/ece4750
% git clone git@github.com:cornell-ece4750/lab-groupXX.git
```

where XX is your group number. You should never fork your lab group’s remote repository! If you need to work in isolation then use a branch within your lab group’s remote repository. If you have
already cloned your lab group’s remote repository, then use `git pull` to ensure you have any recent updates.

1. Introduction

Ever since the transistor scaling have stopped delivering exponential growth in performance and shrinkage in area while still within a constant power budget, computer architects have tried different ways to scale the performance of processors into the future. Usually the idea is parallelism, and different processor designs exploit different amounts of data-level parallelism (DLP), instruction-level parallelism (ILP) or thread-level parallelism (TLP).

Multicore processors have been popular in the mainstream in the last decade. This design duplicates the processor core and enables different program threads to run at the same time on a different processor, exploiting TLP. Ideally, if you can parallelize an application to take advantage of four processors, you can get speedups very close to 4X.

However, multicore designs also have their drawbacks. First of all, it is not possible to fully parallelize most applications, and previously non-significant non-parallelizable parts of the code start to dominate in terms of execution time. In addition, to ensure correct execution, you will need to look into coherency, consistency and synchronization, most of which have a trade off of performance gains through aggressive mechanisms and ease of programming. Lastly, the multicore design has hardware complexity and more hardware overall to enable this scheme.

In this lab, you will get the chance to explore the trade offs of using a single core, relatively straightforward machine, and a quad-core system with a banked data cache, and private instruction caches. The multicore system is significantly more complicated, and you will have a chance to build your own quad-core system. Then you will analyze the performance gains, if any, that you get from each configuration running benchmarks, including one that you wrote.

Unlike previous labs, this lab has significant portion of software. We provide you all assembly tests of PARCv1 and PARCv2 ISA, and also multi-core version of assembly tests. We organize files in the following way: `lab-groupXX` is the top level lab repo you have been using in this course, `lab-groupXX/sim` contains PyMTL or Verilog designs and unit tests. `lab-groupXX/test` contains assembly tests and a build system for assembly test, and `lab-groupXX/app` is the micro benchmark directory you will be working in for the software side of this lab. We talk about assembly tests in Section 4. Because some tests use compiled code, we also need to compile the assembly tests and the benchmarks. Note the `--host=maven` flag for `configure`. This uses the "maven" compiler installed in `amdpool`, which compiles for the PARC ISA. Here is how we build assembly tests for the PARC ISA:

```
% cd /home/ece4750/lab-groupXX
% cd test
% mkdir build
% cd build/
% ../configure --host=maven
% make
```

Similarly, we build apps by:

```
% cd /home/ece4750/lab-groupXX
% cd app
% mkdir build
% cd build/
```
Note that you might see a warning saying `mv: rename *.d to dep/*.d: No such file or directory`. It is safe to ignore this warning. Once you have the apps compiled, you can go on as usual:

The file structure for `lab-groupXX/sim/lab5_mcore` directory looks similar to before, except that we do not have PyMTL test harnesses and we do not use `py.test` to drive the tests:

- `lab5-mcore-ProcCacheNetBase.v` Baseline single core composition
- `lab5-mcore-ProcCacheNetAlt.v` Alternative quad-core composition
- `lab5-mcore-mem-net-adapters.v` Adapters to translate memory messages to network messages for the data cache banks and vice versa
- `lab5-mcore-MemNet.v` Memory request/response network
- `lab5-mcore-sim-harness.v` Simulation harness for all simulations
- `lab5-mcore-sim-base.v` Baseline simulator
- `lab5-mcore-sim-alt.v` Alternative simulator
- `mcore-sim-isa.py` ISA simulator
- `mcore-sim.py` Script to build baseline and alternative simulator and run assembly tests and apps.

2. Baseline design

For the baseline design, we are going to compose the bypassing processor from Lab 2 and two caches from Lab 3, one as the instruction cache, the other as the data cache. This will give you a simple yet realistic single-core system.

We compose these components in `lab5-mcore-ProcCacheNetBase.v`, which is given to you. Note that despite the naming, this design does not need a network. Although we give this file to you, you need to look into this and understand how it works. We strongly recommend you to use your code from the lab 2 and the lab 3. Both the cache and the processor had some modifications to be able to compose them and run C programs on them. Since the baseline design composition is given to you, all you need to do is modify your processor and cache to support running C programs on it. If you want to use the solution from course’s staff, you will still need to make those changes.

The processor now supports more instructions, which are required from compiled programs. The processor also supports a special "stats" bit to tell the manager that stats should be enabled. The reason we need this stats bit is because a typical compiled program includes a lot of code to bootstrap, manage the stack, various library calls etc. So the code that we are interested in running might be masked out by the uninteresting parts of this boilerplate code, so we only enable stats when we are actually in the function of interest. The cache had changes to allow it being used in a multi-banked setting, but because the baseline design simply uses the caches single banked, these changes are not required for the baseline design.

The new instructions we want to add is:

- `mtc0 $x, $21` - If general-purpose register $x is zero, unset the stats bit, otherwise set the stats bit.
- `mfc0 $x, $16` - Store number of cores to the general-purpose register $x.
- `mfc0 $x, $17` - Store core ID to the general-purpose register $x.
- `jalr r_ret, r_targ` - Jump to address and place return address in GPR.
The jalr instruction’s semantics can be found in the PARC ISA manual:

- http://www.csl.cornell.edu/courses/ece4750/handouts/ece4750-parc-isa.txt

Note that the number of cores and core ID are module parameters which are set when we instantiate the processor. For the baseline design the number of cores is one and core ID is zero. We have already implemented the first three of them on the simple processor we released in lab 2. Due to merge conflict, you may not get this as a staff-update. In that case, you can go to the ece4750-labs-release repo to see how we did it. Here are several places you may particularly want to look at:


The composition and the connections of the single core system will look like the Figure 1. The cache request and response ports of the caches connect to the respective i/dcache ports of the processor, while the mem request and response ports connect to outside facing memreq/sp0/1 ports as shown. Note that the data bitwidth from processor to caches is 32 bits, while the data bitwidth from the caches to the test memory is the full cache line, which is 128 bits in this design.

Once you have finished make those changes to your processor and cache, you should run self-checking assembly tests on your design. We talk about assembly tests in Section 4.

In addition to composing these designs, you are also required to program quicksort as a scalar sorting algorithm. You should implement your sorting algorithm in C in app/ubmark/ubmark-quicksort.c in the quicksort_scalar() function. Currently, this function only has a template which copies the source array to destination, and your task is to sort the src array using quicksort algorithm and write the result to destination. This file also contains verification logic to ensure the correctness of your algorithm (and single core composition). You are welcome to consult textbooks or online resources to learn more about quicksort, but you should cite these resources. Copying code is not allowed; you should write it on your own.

Instead of debugging your sorting algorithm on your processor, we recommend you do your debugging by compiling natively. Compiling your program natively is very similar to compiling it for your processor. The only difference is the flag you use for the configure flag. Also note that we create a different build directory so that we don’t have conflicting binaries for two different ISAs:

% cd ${HOME}/ece4750/lab-groupXX/app
% mkdir build-native
% cd build-native/
% ../configure
% make
% ./ubmark-vvadd                      # runs vvadd natively
% ./ubmark-quicksort                  # runs quicksort natively

When you run your binaries natively, it will tell you if it passed or failed the verification. If you need further debugging, you can add printf statements, or use a debugger such as gdb. When you get
Figure 1: Baseline single core configuration

your app working, make sure you remove any print statements because our architecture does not support it.

After making sure your application works natively, you should run your app on the ISA simulator. The ISA simulator is similar to the FL model in lab 2. It is important to debug your app on the ISA simulator before moving to RTL. Here is how to run your app on the ISA simulator:

% cd ${HOME}/ece4750/lab-groupXX/app/build
% $HOME/ece4750/lab-groupXX/sim/lab5_mcore/mcore-sim-isa ubuntu-vvadd
% $HOME/ece4750/lab-groupXX/sim/lab5_mcore/mcore-sim-isa ubuntu-quickSort

You can use --trace command line option to turn on line tracing.

% cd ${HOME}/ece4750/lab-groupXX/app/build
% $HOME/ece4750/lab-groupXX/sim/lab5_mcore/mcore-sim-isa ubuntu-vvadd --trace
In your report, discuss why this is a good baseline design. Describe how you implemented the single core configuration and the quicksort algorithm. Describe any changes you have made to the given design.

3. Alternative Design

The alternative design is to implement the multicore system. The multicore system consists of four processors, four instruction caches that are private to each processor, four data cache banks that are shared among all four cores, and networks to allow multi-banked caches.

You need to make your ring network from lab 4 to be a four-node ring, instead of eight-node ring in lab 4. If your ring network is already parametrized, this can be easily done by setting the number of nodes to be four. You can also hard-code your ring network to be four-node.

We have provided you a memory request/response network in lab5-mcore-MemNet.v. Take a look at this module. This module includes adapters to wrap memory messages coming to network messages, a request network, and a response network. In a multi-banked cache design, cache lines are interleaved to different cache banks, so that consecutive cache lines correspond to a different bank. The following is the addressing structure in our multi-banked data caches:

```
+--------------------------+--------------+--------+--------+--------+
| 2 2 b | 4 b | 2 b | 2 b | 2 b |
+--------------------------+--------------+--------+--------+--------+
| t a g | index | bank idx | offset | subwd |
+--------------------------+--------------+--------+--------+--------+
```

The memory/network adapters extract this bank index from the memory request address of the memory message to determine the destination of the network, which is the cache bank. In addition, the memory response generated by a cache bank needs to be sent back to the correct processor. To determine who had sent the memory request originally, we tag the memory messages with the requesting source id, i.e., processor id. We use the opaque field to store this information. We overwrite the processor id to the high bits of the opaque field of the memory request message, and pack this memory message in the payload field of a network message. The cache and the memory system is supposed to keep the opaque field of the memory message. Using this, the response network extracts the destination processor id to send the response back.

Another use case of the request/response network is to allow multiple requesters to arbitrate over a single memory port. This is the case in the refill networks for the instruction and data caches, where four instruction caches, and four banks of data caches arbitrate for \texttt{memreq0/memresp0} and \texttt{memreq1/memresp1} respectively. We parameterize the \texttt{MemNet} to set the mode on how it is going to be used. This parameter is called \texttt{p_single_bank}, and indicates it should be used as a single-bank setting, i.e. for a refill network. So the refill networks should set this parameter to 1, while the dcache network should set it to 0.

In addition to the network, more changes are necessary in the caches as well. As you might have noticed, the memory addressing fields have changed, and there are bank index bits between the index and the offset. Furthermore, there are fewer tag bits. You may want to parameterize the cache to tell the number of banks in the system it is going to be used. The parameter is called \texttt{p_num_banks}, and this should be set to 1 for instruction caches, and 4 for data caches.

Lastly, the memory request/response network has all of the ports concatenated together for better parameterization. To get the bits for the actual port, we need to use the \texttt{VC_PORT_PICK_FIELD} macro. This macro has two arguments, the first argument is how many bits is each port, the second is the port index.
Software running on different cores need to differentiate themselves from the others. For instance, to parallelize work on an array by dividing and assigning a part of this array to each core, the code can use the core id and the number of cores to assign work. Similarly, to ensure only one of the processors is executing a serial part of the code, software would compare the core id to 0, and only then execute the given code block. To allow this, the processor uses the mfc0 instruction with the appropriate coprocessor register specifier as we talked about in Section 2, which will copy the value of the core id and the number of cores to the specified architectural register. To allow this, each processor needs to know the number of cores in the system and the core id of this processor, using the parameters p_num_cores and p_core_id respectively.

The layout of the components is shown in Figure 2 and in Figure 3. One thing to mention is the manager interface ports are only connected to proc0. This means all of the communication to the outside world (other than the memory) needs to be done through proc0.

To ensure that the alternative design works, we use the multi-threaded assembly test suite located in lab-groupXX/test/mt. These tests are very similar to the single threaded versions, except for testing the correct computation in all of the cores. proc0 creates work for the other processors, and it waits on all other processors to finish. Instead of directly sending the test outcome like in the case of single threaded assembly tests, each processor writes this outcome to a global array at an index dedicated for this core. Once all of the processors have executed their testing logic, proc0 checks the test outcomes of each processor. Because proc0 is the only processor that has its manager ports connected to the test harness, it notifies the manager if any of the cores have failed the test. If all of the cores have passed the test, it sends a pass to the manager. Note that running the single threaded assembly tests would also work in most cases. This would cause all of the processors execute the same code, but only proc0 will be communicating the pass or fail information back to the manager. The rest of the processors would simply be ignored. So while this does test the single threaded execution on the multicore, to test truly the multi-threaded execution, we need to run the multi-threaded test cases.

In addition to composing the components, you also need to write a parallel sorting algorithm. You are free to pick which sorting algorithm to write. Even though it is parallelizable, quicksort is not the easiest sorting algorithm to parallelize. Instead, we recommend you implement a parallel merge sort algorithm. Merge sort uses a divide-and-conquer approach to initially divide up work, and then build up from there. The merge sort method contains two recursive calls to the merge sort for the low and high halves of the input array. These recursive calls are performed until the arrays are a single element long. Then, for each right and left arrays, which are internally sorted (single element array is sorted), we call a merge function. The merge function simply copies the two sorted input arrays to a combined and sorted destination array. At the exit of each merge sort function, there is a call to the merge function, and the sorted array is returned. This causes these smaller individually sorted arrays to be eventually to be combined into a globally sorted array.

We provide you a very light-weight threading library called bthread, which stands for bare thread. We use thread and core in this lab interchangeably because each core has only one thread. We call core 0 the master core and others the worker cores. We have bthread_init function which sets up the library and must be called at the beginning of the main function. The master core can spawn a function to a worker core by using bthread_spawn( int thread_id, void (*start_routine)(void*), void* arg ) to spawn a function to a given core, where the thread_id is the ID of the core (thread) we want spawn to, the start_routine is the function pointer we want the worker core to execute and the arg is a pointer to the argument. bthread_join( int thread_id ) will wait for given worker core. You may want to look at the implementation of each bthread function at
Merge sort is very amenable to parallelization. The input array can be statically divided into the smaller arrays to be sorted. Then the master core would simply assign a piece of work to worker cores by using `bthread_spawn` to execute the merge sort algorithm in their quarter of the array. The master core would call `bthread_join` to wait for worker cores. Finally, these four individually sorted sub-arrays need to be combined to the final sorted array. This reduction step needs to be done by the master core, after the `bthread_join` call. We need to have three final calls to the merge function to combine these four quarter arrays into the one final array.

When you finish your parallel sorting application, you should build and test your app natively, then test it on the ISA simulator.

Building and running apps natively:

```bash
% cd ${HOME}/ece4750/lab-groupXX/app
% mkdir build-native
% cd build-native/
% ../configure
% make
% ../mtbmark-vvadd
% ../mtbmark-sort
```

Running apps on the ISA simulator:

```bash
% cd ${HOME}/ece4750/lab-groupXX/app/build
% ${HOME}/ece4750/lab-groupXX/sim/lab5_mcore/mcore-sim-isa --mcore mtbmark-vvadd
% ${HOME}/ece4750/lab-groupXX/sim/lab5_mcore/mcore-sim-isa --mcore mtbmark-sort
```

By default the ISA simulator is single-core. The `--mcore` command line option makes the ISA simulator simulate a quad core.

In your lab report, explain how each component is connected and the additional complexities involved when implementing the alternative design. Will the alternative design perform better on single threaded loads? Will it perform better in multi-threaded loads? How do you thing this architecture perform with fewer or more cores? Do you think it is worth investing in the complexity?

4. Testing strategy

In this lab, we mainly use self-checking assembly tests for testing our designs. Self-checking assembly tests are a different approach to the testing strategy you have used in Lab 2. In Lab 2, you have used explicit test sources and sinks for inputs and outputs. The self-checking tests do testing in software and only notify the manager in case of a failure or success, as opposed to sending every single result back. The main drawback of using this approach is that it requires a lot more instructions to be working before testing can work. For instance, it requires the software be able to compare a result to an expected value, and branch to pass or fail labels in the code, and send this pass/fail information back to the manager. In comparison, using explicit sources and sinks in Lab 2 only required the `mtc0` and `mfc0` instructions working.

Before running self-checking assembly tests, you should test your processor using src/sink test in lab 2.
The self-checking assembly tests are in the `lab-groupXX/test/` directory. Some of the simpler instructions are tested in `lab-groupXX/test/parcv1/` and the others are in `lab-groupXX/test/parcv2/`. In addition to the single threaded assembly tests, there are also multi-threaded assembly tests in the `lab-groupXX/test/mt/` directory. Because we need the processor to run compiled code, it needs to implement more instructions than was required for Lab 2. To ensure we test the new instructions, we use these self-checking assembly tests. Take a look at how these tests are implemented. It would also be interesting to compare your additional tests you have implemented in Lab 2 to the self-checking versions. As shown before, you can compile these assembly tests by going to its build directory and running the following commands:

```
% mkdir -p ${HOME}/ece4750/lab-groupXX/test/build
% cd ${HOME}/ece4750/lab-groupXX/test/build
% ../../configure --host=maven
% make
```

Before running assembly tests on your baseline design and alternative design, you should test it on the ISA simulator and study their behavior. Here is how to run assembly tests on the ISA simulator:

```
% cd ${HOME}/ece4750/lab-groupXX/test/build
% ${HOME}/ece4750/lab-groupXX/sim/lab5_mcore/mcore-sim-isa --test --trace parcv1-addu
% ${HOME}/ece4750/lab-groupXX/sim/lab5_mcore/mcore-sim-isa --mcore --test --trace \ 
% --trace-regs mt-addu
```

Note that if you run `mt` assembly tests, you should turn on `--mcore` option to run it on a quad-core. In addition to the normal line tracing (`--trace`), you can also show the values in the registers each instruction is reading and the result it is writing by using `--trace-regs` command line option.

You should run both types of the assembly tests to make sure your design works. We provide you a script `mcore-sim` that can build the harness using `iverilog`, which is a Verilog interpreter functionally similar to Verilator but gives us much shorter compile time. You can choose baseline or alternative by `--impl` command line option. This is how we build the harness:

```
% cd ${HOME}/ece4750/lab-groupXX/test/build
% ${HOME}/ece4750/lab-groupXX/sim/lab5_mcore/mcore-sim --impl base --build
% ${HOME}/ece4750/lab-groupXX/sim/lab5_mcore/mcore-sim --impl alt --build
```

After building the test harness, you can run each assembly tests by:

```
% cd ${HOME}/ece4750/lab-groupXX/test/build
% ${HOME}/ece4750/lab-groupXX/sim/lab5_mcore/mcore-sim --impl base --test parcv2-or
% ${HOME}/ece4750/lab-groupXX/sim/lab5_mcore/mcore-sim --impl alt --test mt-and
```

Notice that `--test` option set the script to run assembly tests. `--trace` displays the line tracing. `--dump-vcd` enables `.vcd` waveform dumping.

The script also provides some other functions such as run all assembly tests in the default folder. You can learn how to use those functions by

```
% ${HOME}/ece4750/lab-groupXX/sim/lab5_mcore/mcore-sim --help
For the testing strategy, unlike the earlier labs, you do not need to come up with additional test cases. Instead, discuss how do we make sure we test the single core and multicore designs effectively. Discuss the different testing techniques you have learned in the labs so far, and how these came together to ensure we have a very sophisticated working design that can execute multi-threaded programs with realistic caches and networks. Discuss the different testing strategies involved to test the single core processor and the multicore, and how do we ensure all four cores, eight caches and three networks are tested both in isolation and together, and how do we know that we are using all four cores for computation?

Also discuss the idea of self-checking assembly tests and how these can be used to test relatively simple instructions such as arithmetic operations, to more complicated ones, such as jalr. The testing strategy section in your lab report should briefly summarize the approach used in the testing the baseline design before focusing in detail on testing the multicore. Describe how we test the more difficult parts of the multicore design, such as making sure all four cores are being used, and if they are actually work.

5. Evaluation

We provide you a single threaded micro-benchmark (vector-vector add) and you are required to come up with a sorting microbenchmark. All of the single threaded microbenchmarks are in app/ubmark/ directory with their C source code and the dataset files. Take a look at all of the and familiarize what each one does.

When you compile the benchmarks or assembly tests, the build system produces a vmh file for each app that can be loaded to the test memory and run on your processor. In addition, they also produce an assembly dump in app/build/dump directory. This file contains the actual assembly instructions the C program compiled into. You can search for the function name (e.g. vvadd_scalar) in the dump file to see what the C code got translated to. Compare this compiler generated assembly to the microbenchmarks we have used in Lab 2 which were hand assembled. Which code is more readable? Which code would give better performance? Which code is more optimal in terms of static code size and number of registers used?

In addition to the single threaded benchmarks, we have provided multi-threaded benchmarks in the app/mtbmark/ directory. We have the same microbenchmarks in the single threaded ubmark implemented as multi-threaded. All of these multi-threaded microbenchmarks statically partition the input for the number of cores (threads) that are available in the system. Depending on the benchmark, some benchmarks require one final serial reduction step that need to be executed single threaded, using the outputs each core have produced, to produce the final answer. After this optional reduction step, the output is verified, again single threaded.

To see how each of the microbenchmarks perform on both the single-core and multicore systems, you can run the following command:

% cd ${HOME}/ece4750/lab-groupXX/app/build
% ${HOME}/ece4750/lab-groupXX/sim/lab5_mcore/mcore-sim --impl base --build
% ${HOME}/ece4750/lab-groupXX/sim/lab5_mcore/mcore-sim --impl alt --build

After building the test harness, you can run each assembly tests by:

% cd ${HOME}/ece4750/lab-groupXX/app/build
% ${HOME}/ece4750/lab-groupXX/sim/lab5_mcore/mcore-sim --impl base --app ubmark-vvadd
% ${HOME}/ece4750/lab-groupXX/sim/lab5_mcore/mcore-sim --impl alt --app mtbmark-sort
The \texttt{--app} option set the script to run applications. The \texttt{--trace} displays the line tracing. The \texttt{--dump-vcd} enables .\texttt{vcd} waveform generation.

When you run a simulation, the simulator will also report whether the verification passed or failed. Make sure all of the verifications pass. It will report the number of instructions (per core) and the number of cycles. Assuming both designs have the same cycle time, we can use the number of cycles as a proxy for the performance. Report these results in your lab report in a table.

You can also experiment with running single threaded benchmarks on the multicore and multi-threaded benchmarks on the single core. These allow you to see the overheads in the software to make a program multi-threaded. Note that when you run single threaded benchmarks on the multicore, some of the benchmarks might not pass the verification. This is because each core will try to execute the same exact code over the same exact data. Depending if the benchmark is written in an idempotent way or not, these additional cores might corrupt each others’ data.

In your lab report, discuss how each benchmark performed in each configuration. Did all of the benchmarks perform better on the multicore? Did they get the theoretical speedup of 4X over the single core? If you ran multi-threaded benchmarks on the single core, how much are the overheads to make applications multi-threaded? What are these overheads due to? How do the two versions of sorting perform on these two architectures? Can the parallel sort get a speedup over the serial version of sorting?

6. Extensions

In your single core design, your performance would be considerably worse than Lab 2 you have implemented. The primary reason is that the caches we use have significant hit latency of four cycles. We suggest you improve the hit latency which will directly improve your performance as an extension. You are welcome to use the caches you have used in Lab 3 and improve them further to give a performance boost to the single core processor system.

Another thing you can also improve on is the network. You can improve the performance of your alternative design by using a crossbar network instead of a ring network, because it delivers packets in single cycle. Other than the caches and network, you can also experiment with various changes to the processor. You could implement a simple superscalar pipeline, basic multithreading or branch prediction schemes.

Acknowledgments

This lab was created by Moyang Wang, Christopher Torng, Berkin Ilbeyi, Christopher Batten, and Ji Kim as part of the course ECE 4750 Computer Architecture at Cornell University.
Figure 2: Alternative multicore configuration
Figure 3: Alternative design block diagram – The alternative design consists of four processors, four private I-caches, a four-banked shared D-cache, and several ring networks. We use ring networks to route dmem request/response from the processors and the D-cache, and refilling both the I-cache and the D-cache. Note that each ring network shown in the diagram is actually two ring networks: one for request and the other for response.