# A New Cache Monitoring Scheme for Memory-Aware Scheduling and Partitioning

G. Edward Suh Srinivas Devadas Larry Rudolph

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

## Problem

- Memory system performance is critical
- Everyone thinks about their own application
  - Tuning replacement policies
  - Software/hardware prefetching
- But modern computer systems execute multiple applications concurrently/simultaneously
  - Time-shared systems
    - Context switches cause cold misses
  - Multiprocessors systems sharing memory hierarchy (SMP, SMT, CMP)
    - Simultaneous applications compete for cache space

# Solutions: Cache Partitioning & Memory-Aware Scheduling

- Cache Partitioning
  - Explicitly manage cache space allocation amongst concurrent/ simultaneous processes
    - Each process gets different benefit from more cache space
    - Similar to main memory partition (e.g.. Stone 1992) in the old days
- Memory-Aware Scheduling
  - Choose a set of simultaneous processes to minimize memory/cache contention
  - Schedule for SMT systems (Snavely 2000)
    - Threads interact in various ways (RUU, functional units, caches, etc)
    - Based on executing various schedules and profiling them
  - Admission control for gang scheduling (Batat 2000)
    - Based on the footprint of a job (total memory usage)

#### BUT...

- Testing many possible schedules → not viable
  - The number of possible schedules increase exponentially as the number of processes increase
  - Need to decide a good schedule from individual process characteristics → complexity increases linearly
- Footprint-based scheduling → not enough information
  - Footprint of a process is often larger than the cache
  - Processes may not need the entire working set in the cache
- Can we find a good schedule for cache performance?
  - What information do we need for each process?

## Information a Scheduler/Partitioner Needs

- Characterizing a process
  - For scheduling and partitioning, need to know the effect of varying cache size
    - Multiple performance numbers for different cache sizes
    - Ignore other effects than cache size
- Miss-rate curves; m(c)
  - Cache miss-rates as a function of cache size (cache blocks)
    - Assume a process is isolated
    - Assume the cache is **FULLY-ASSOCIATIVE**
  - Provides essential information for scheduling and partitioning



#### Using Miss-Rate Curves for Partitioning

• What do miss-rate curves tell about cache allocation?



#### Finding the best allocation

- Use marginal gain; g(c) = m(c) 'ref m(c+1)'ref
  - Gain in the number of misses by increasing the cache space
- Allocate cache blocks to each process in a greedy manner
  - Guaranteed to result in the optimal partition if m(c) are convex







### **Partitioning Results**

 Partition the L2 cache amongst two simultaneous processes (spec2000 benchmarks: *art* and *mcf*)



## Intuition for Memory-Aware Scheduling

• How to schedule 4 processes on 2 processor system using individual miss-rate curves?



Curves tend to have a knee → The amount of cache space where the marginal • Wgdkimgliseinsizesisaldoger than the cache for all processes

• All Gnoupe speecess at base of item misstanetenees have the entire cache

Schedule A and C, and B and D together

# Determining the Knee of the Curve

• Use partitioning technique





- However, now we may need multiple time slices to schedule processes (2 time slices in our example)
- Available cache resource should be doubled

#### Scheduling Results

• Schedule 6 SPEC CPU benchmarks for 2 Processors

■ Worst ■ Best ■ Scheduling Algorithm



# Analytical Model (ICS`01)

- Miss-rate curves (or marginal gains) alone may not be enough for optimizing time-shared systems
  - Partitioning amongst concurrent processes
  - Scheduling considering the effects of context switches
- Use analytical model to predict cache-sharing effects



#### BUT...

- Processes to execute are only known at run-time
  - Users decide what applications to run
  - Scheduling/Partitioning decisions should be made at run-time
- The behavior of a process changes over time
  - Applications have different phases
  - Miss-rates curves (and marginal gains) may change over an execution
- Cache configurations are different for systems
  - Miss-rate curves (and marginal gains) are different for systems
- Need an on-line estimation of miss-rate curves (and marginal gains)

# On-Line Estimation of Marginal Gains: Fully-Associative Caches



#### BUT...

- Most caches are **SET-ASSOCIATIVE** 
  - Except main memory
  - Usually up to 8-way associative
- Set-associative caches only maintain temporal ordering within a set
  - No global temporal ordering
- Cannot use block-by-block temporal ordering to obtain marginal gains for fully-associative caches

### Way-Counters

- Way-Counters
  - Use the existing LRU
  - One counter per way
  - Hit on the i<sup>th</sup> MRU  $\rightarrow$
- 0.2 0.123 0.0477 0.0234 0.0171 0 • Each way-1024 **more** 256 512 768 0 Increment blocks (S i Cache Size (Blocks) the 1<sup>st</sup> Counter Counter fit on Way Access the MRU 4385 377 31 5014 Counters Counter **Cache Block** 3 0 2 4-way Hit on Associative S sets the 2<sup>nd</sup> MRU Cache **Cache Block** 2 0 3

1

0.8

**Miss-Rate** 0.0

Fully-Associative

#### Way+Set Counters

A. 199 A. 199

a.1.1.

Use more counters for more detailed information

- Maintain the LRU information of sets





## Summary

- Caches should be managed more carefully considering the effect of space/time-sharing
  - Cache Partitioning
  - Memory-Aware Scheduling
- Miss-rate curves provide very relevant information for scheduling and partitioning
  - Enables us to predict the effect of varying the cache space
  - Useful for any tradeoff between performance and space (power)
- On-line counters can estimate miss-rate curves at runtime
  - Use the temporal ordering of blocks to predict miss-rates for smaller caches
  - Works for both fully-associative and set-associative caches